

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/741,680	12/15/2000	Vipin Samar	OR00-14001	6541
22835 7590 02/23/2005 A. RICHARD PARK, REG. NO. 41241			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, CAM LINH T	
PARK, VAUGHAN & FLEMING LLP 2820 FIFTH STREET DAVIS, CA 95616		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2161	
			DATE MAILED: 02/23/2005	DATE MAILED: 02/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. •	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/741,680	SAMAR, VIPIN				
Advisory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit				
	CamLinh Nguyen	2161				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 11 February 2005 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply to a n places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR) 						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		•				
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5,7-13,15-21 and 23-48</u> .		·				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 6,14,22.						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner. 1				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen						
10. Other:	(o)(1 10 1110) 1 apol 110(o)					
		ALFORD KINDRED PRIMARY EXAMINER				

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Independent claims 1, 9, 17, 25, 33, 41 have been amendment including new issues such that "wherein an administrator in the plurality of administrators who is not a sercurity officer cannot perform administrative functions on sensitive objects". Therefore, they raise new issues that require further search and consideration.